

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/716,841	11/17/2000	Roger Briesewitz	STAN-130	8223
7.	590 03/27/2002			
Bert E Field Bozicevic Field & Francis LLP Suite 200			EXAMINER	
			NAFF, DAVID M	
200 Middlefield Menlo Park, Ca			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1651	\wedge
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/716,841

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Brieswitz et al.



		David Naff	1651	
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addre	98 8
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE1 MONTH	H(S) FROM	
- Exter af - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any (price of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ceter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the road patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (y statute, cause the application to bec	n of thirty (30) da 6) MONTHS from come ABANDONE	ays will the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
2a) 🗌	·	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa			e merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u>	is/are	e pending in the	e application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fi	om consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are rejected	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected	l to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-50</u>	are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	e objected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved	b) disapprov	red.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National S	Stage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		(e).	
Attachm	ent(s)			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s).	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application		
17) 🔲 Im	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/716,841

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-15, drawn to a bi-functional molecule, classified in class 514, subclass 2+, for example.
- II. Claims 16-22, drawn to a method for modulating at least one pharmacokinetic property of a drug *in vivo*, classified in class 514, subclass 2+, for example.
- III. Claims 23-27, drawn to a method for modulating the half-life of a drug in vivo, classified in class 514, subclass 2+, for example.
- IV. Claims 28-32, drawn to a method for modulating the hepatic first-pass metabolism of a drug in vivo, classified in class 514, subclass 2+, for example.
- V. Claims 33-37, drawn to a method for modulating the volume of distribution of a drug *in vivo*, classified in class 514, subclass 2+, for example.
- VI. Claims 38-42, drawn to a method for modulating the blood protein binding effect on a drug *in vivo*, classified in class 514, subclass 2+, for example.
- VII. Claims 43-49, drawn to an improved method of administering a drug to a host, classified in class 514, subclass 2+, for example.
- VIII. Claim 50, drawn to a kit, classified in class 435, subclass 810, for example. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/716,841

Art Unit: 1651

Inventions I (product) and II-VII (distinct methods of use) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, as evidenced by the claims themselves, the product can be used in numerous distinct *in vivo* drug treatment methods. In addition, there are numerous methods of providing the distinct *in vivo* functional effects instantly claimed which do not require the bi-functional molecule of Group I - e.g., there are various ways to modulate the half-life of a drug *in vivo* (including via time-release formulations), modulate the hepatic first-pass metabolism of a drug *in vivo* (including via ingesting grapefruit juice or other first-pass effecting drug/compound therewith), and/or modulate the blood protein binding effect on a drug *in vivo* (including using antibodies), to name a few.

The methods of Groups II-VII are directed to different inventions which are not connected in design, operation, and/or effect. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone.

The methods of groups II-VII as well as the products of Groups I and VIII are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each of the

Application/Control Number: 09/716,841

Art Unit: 1651

above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not required of the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Naff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0520. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached at (703) 308-4743. The Group receptionist may be reached at (703) 308-0196.

The fax number for art unit 1651 is (703) 308-4242.

Christopher R. Tate

Primary Examiner, Group 1651